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#### Abstract

A series of tetraamines derived from 1,8 -diaminooctane was prepared and tested as antitumor agents. The reaction of 1,8-diaminooctane with acrylonitrile gave $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(cyanoethyl)-1,8-diaminooctane, which was reduced to tetraamine 20. Alkylation of the terminal nitrogen atoms of the tetra-Boc derivative of this compound by methyl or ethyl halide followed by removal of the Boc groups gave the bis(alkyl)polyamines 26 a and 26 b , respectively. These three compounds exhibit promising antitumor activity in the mouse L1210 leukemia model. Coadministration of a polyamine oxidase inhibitor potentiated the antitumor activity.


The importance of the naturally occurring polyamines putrescine (1), spermidine (2), and spermine (3) in tumor

cells had been emphasized by the inhibition of tumor growth in experimental animal tumors by polyamine analogues ${ }^{1}$ and polyamine biosynthesis inhibitors, ${ }^{2}$ such as (difluoromethyl)ornithine. Following our observation that norspermidine (4) had good antitumor activity, ${ }^{3}$ we
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\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \\
4 \\
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{m} \mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} \mathrm{NH}_{2}
\end{gathered}
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$$
5: m, n=3,4 ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}, \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{O}
$$

synthesized and evaluated in the mouse L1210 leukemia model the series of spermidine and norspermidine analogues 5 listed in Table I, none of which were more active in this test than norspermidine. The series was extended by the addition of aminopropyl moieties to the terminal amino groups to give compounds of general structures 6 and 7. Of these derivatives, tetramine 20 prepared from

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\pi} \times\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \\
6 \\
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1,8-diaminooctane ( $7, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, m=4, n=3$; Table II) exhibited significantly improved antitumor activity. In this paper, we report the synthesis and antitumor activity in the mouse L1210 leukemia model of this series of polyamine analogues derived from norspermidine and 1,8-diaminooctane.

## Chemistry

The synthesis of analogues of spermidine and norspermidine, where the central nitrogen was replaced by sulfur, is outlined in Scheme I. Reaction of thiol 8 with either $N$-3-(bromopropyl)- or $N$-4-(bromobutyl)phthalimide, followed by removal of the phthalimide protecting groups,

[^0]Scheme I ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $n$-BuLi, THF; (b) hydrazine hydrate; (c) $m$-chloroperbenzoic acid. $\mathrm{Pht}=$ phthalimide.

## Scheme II $^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}$, DMF; (b) anhydrous $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$.

## Scheme III


gave the desired analogues 10 and 13. Oxidation of the intermediate sulfide 9 , followed by hydrolysis of the phthalimide groups, gave sulfone analogue 11. A norspermidine analogue where the central nitrogen was replaced by oxygen (14) and $N^{4}$-methylnorspermidine (15) were prepared analogously to literature procedures ${ }^{4,5}$ by reduction of the corresponding dinitrile. Reaction of the bis-tert-butoxycarbonyl derivative of 1,8 -diaminooctane (43) with methyl iodide/sodium hydride, followed by removal of the Boc groups, gave $N^{1}, N^{8}$-dimethyl-1,8-diaminooctane (16, Scheme II). Similarly, norspermidine (4) was converted to $N^{1}, N^{7}$-dimethylnorspermidine (17). $N^{1}, N^{1}, N^{7}, N^{7}$-Tetramethylnorspermidine (18) was prepared by reduction of 3 -(dimethylamino) proprionitrile according to the procedure of Rylander et al. ${ }^{6}$ Dimethylamino analogue 27 was prepared by hydrogenation of 3 -(dimethylamino) propionitrile in the presence of 1,8 -diaminooctane (Scheme III) as in the preparation of 18. Reaction of 1,8 -diaminooctane with acrylonitrile gave a mixture of mono- and bis-adducts as reported by Brown
(4) Wiley, P. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1946, 68, 1867.
(5) Bergeron, R. J.; Burton, P. S.; McGovern, S.; Kline, J. Synthesis 1981, 732.
(6) Rylander, P. N.; Hasbrouck, L.; Karpenko, I. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1973, 24, 100.

Table I. Polyamine Analogues: Di- and Triamines. Physical Properties and Antitumor Activity against L1210 Leukemia

| no. | structure | $\mathrm{mp},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { dose },{ }^{b} \\ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \end{gathered}$ | \% T/ $\mathrm{C}^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  | 25 | 179 |
| 5 a | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{7} \mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 50 | na ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 5 b | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 50 | na |
| 10 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~S}^{( }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}$ | 209-210 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 50 | na |
| 11 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}$ | 190-1918 | 50 | na |
| 13 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}$ | 222-224 | 50 | na |
| 14 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2} 2 \mathrm{HCl}$ | $>300$ | 50 | na |
| 15 | $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{( } \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $80-100$ (1.2 mm) | 50 | na |
| 16 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NHCH}_{3} \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}$ | $224-226$ | 50 | na |
| 17 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NHCH}_{3} \cdot 3 \mathrm{HCl}$ | >280 | 50 | na |
| 18 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{HCl}$ | 145-146 | 50 | na |
| 19 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{HCl}$ | 270-273 ${ }^{h}$ | 50 | na |
| 38 | $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}\right]_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | 273-275 dec | 50 | na |

${ }^{a}$ Animals in groups of six were inoculated ip with $10^{5} \mathrm{~L} 1210$ cells on day 0 . Control survival was $7.7 \pm 0.5$ days. ${ }^{b}$ Every $3 \mathrm{~h}(\times 4)$ on days $3-6 .{ }^{c} \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{C}$ is defined as survival time treated/survival time control $\times 100$. ${ }^{d}$ Purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{Na}=$ not active. $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{C}$ $<110 \%$. ${ }^{\prime}$ Literature ref for free base-see ref $18 .{ }^{6}$ Literature ${ }^{19} \mathrm{mp} 192-194^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{h}$ Literature ${ }^{7} \mathrm{mp} 276-277{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table II. Polyamine Analogues: Tetramines. Physical Properties and Antitumor Activity ${ }^{a, b}$ against L1210 Leukemia

| no. | structure ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{mp},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | \% T/ ${ }^{e}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $>300^{g}$ | 350 |
| 21 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 274-278 | na ${ }^{f}$ |
| 25b | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $>280$ | 145 |
| 26a | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ | $>300$ | 206 |
| 26b | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NHC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $>300$ | 135 |
| 26c | $n-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}-n-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | $>300$ | na |
| 26d | $n-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}-n-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | $>300$ | na |
| 26 e | $\mathrm{BnNH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NHBn}$ | $>300$ | na |
| 27 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 240 dec | na |
| 29 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NHCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $>280$ | na |
| 34 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 206-207 | 206 |
| 42 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 286-287 | na |
| 46 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $>300$ | na |

${ }^{a}$ Groups of six mice (BDF1 male) were inoculated ip with $10^{5}$ cells on day 0 . Control survival time was $7.5 \pm 5$ days. ${ }^{b}$ Compounds were administered at a dose of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{q} 3 \mathrm{~h}(\times 4)$ day $3-5 .{ }^{c}$ Compounds were tetrahydrochloride salts. ${ }^{d}$ Dose was $6.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{q} 3 \mathrm{~h}(\times 4)$ day $3-7$. ${ }^{e}$ See footnote $c$, Table I. ${ }^{f}$ Inactive compounds were inactive at a dose of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ as well. ${ }^{\prime}$ Literature ${ }^{7} \mathrm{mp} 307-309{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
and Woodcock. ${ }^{7}$ This mixture was separated by distillation and the mono- and bis-adducts were reduced ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$ ) to give the triamine (19) and tetraamine (20).
The potent antitumor activity of tetraamine 20 (Table II) directed our synthetic program to tetraamine derivatives. Analogue 21, in which an oxygen atom was introduced in the C-8 portion, was prepared by reaction of diamine 14 with acrylonitrile followed by catalytic reduction of the bis-adduct. Derivatives incorporating alkyl groups on the terminal nitrogen atoms (Scheme IV) were obtained by reacting compound 22 with 2 equiv of alkyl halide in the presence of potassium tert-butoxide. When $R$ was ethyl, the product was a mixture of monoalkyl (23b) and dialkyl (24b) compounds, which were separated by flash chromatography on silica gel. The Boc protecting groups were removed from 23 b and 24 b with anhydrous HCl in alcohol to give tetraamine tetrahydrochloride salts 25b and 26a-e.

The effect of methyl branching on the aminopropyl group was investigated. Polyamines 29 and 34, which contained methyl groups $\alpha$ to the central and terminal amino function, respectively, were synthesized. Compound 29 was prepared by Michael addition of 1,8-diaminooctane to crotononitrile followed by reduction of the bis-adduct (Scheme V). Compound 34 (Scheme VI) was prepared from $N, N^{\prime}$-dibenzyl-1,8-diaminooctane (30). ${ }^{8}$ Reaction of 30 with methyl vinyl ketone (delivered in a stream of argon to a methanol solution of the amine ${ }^{9}$ ) gave the unstable

[^1]
$\mathrm{RHN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NHR} \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}$
26a-e
${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{RX}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (b) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$.
Scheme $\mathbf{V}^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{PtO}_{2}$, ethanol.
bis-ketone 31. Bis-ketone 31 was converted in the same flask to the more stable bis-oxime 32. Reduction of the bis-oxime with LAH in THF followed by hydrogenolysis




32


33
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$; (b) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{NaOH}$, aqueous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$; (c) LAH, THF; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{HCl}$.

## Scheme VII ${ }^{\text {a }}$



36


38
B. $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}+2 \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OH} \xrightarrow{\text { C }}$ 30


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) DMF, K-t-BuO; (b) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (c) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{NaI}, n-\mathrm{BuOH}$; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}$; (e) di-tert-butyldicarbonate, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (f) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$, pyridine; (g) DMF, K-t-BuO, 35; (h) HCl , EtOH.
of the benzyl groups over Pearlman's catalyst ${ }^{10}$ gave tetraamine 34.

Scheme VII illustrates the syntheses of the bis-allenylamines 38 and 42. The synthesis of 38 relied on the alkylation of Boc-butadienylamine ${ }^{11}$ (35) with the bis-mesylate derivatives of Boc-bis(3-hydroxypropyl)amine (36) followed by deprotection with ethanolic HCl . Compound 40 was prepared from diol 39 , obtained by reacting

[^2]
## Scheme VIII ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCN}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$, EtOH.
$N^{1}, N^{8}$-dibenzyl-1,8-diaminooctane (30) with 3-chloropropanol, followed by sequential debenzylation with Pearlman's catalyst ${ }^{10}$ and reprotection of the amine with a Boc group. Compound 46 resulted (Scheme VIII) from bis-cyanoethylation of diamine 16 and reduction of the bis-cyanoethyl derivative to the tetraamine in the presence of $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{PtO}_{2}$.

## Results and Discussion

Table I lists the spermidine and norspermidine analogues prepared and evaluated against L1210 ascitic leukemia in mice. With the exception of norspermidine (4), none of these compounds exhibited significant antitumor activity when tested at a dose of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Antitumor activity data for the tetraamine derivatives are shown in Table II. All compounds were first evaluated at a dose of $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}(\mathrm{q} 3 \mathrm{~h} \times 4$ ) from days $3-5$ posttumor inoculum except compound 20 , which was dosed at $6.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ from day 3-7. In this model, 25b, 26a, 26b, and 34 exhibited moderate antitumor activity and 20 exhibited very potent antitumor activity. HPLC analysis ${ }^{12}$ of polyamines in tumor tissues from mice administered compound 20 revealed the presence of triamine 19 , suggesting that tetraamine 20 could be metabolized by amine oxidases. ${ }^{13}$ Compounds 29, 34 , and 42 were therefore synthesized since the methyl group or the butadienyl group should inhibit oxidative metabolism. However, only 34 showed activity in the L1210 model at the test dose of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Table II). Alkyl groups also were introduced on the terminal nitrogen atoms of tetraamine 20 to inhibit metabolism by amine oxidases. For these derivatives, the antitumor activity appeared to decrease as the size of the alkyl group increased (Table II, compounds 26a-e). Permethylation of the terminal amino groups (27) or methylation of the central nitrogen atoms (46) of compound 20 resulted in the loss of antitumor activity. Interestingly, the two butadienyl compounds 38 and 42 were potent irreversible inhibitors of polyamine oxidase ( PAO ) in vitro and in vivo, i.e. as effective as the putrescine derivative $47^{11}$ (Table III). However, 38 and 42 were inactive in the L1210 model.

The four analogues $\mathbf{2 5 b}, \mathbf{2 6 a}, \mathbf{2 6 b}$, and 34 were selected for further evaluation in the L1210 model. The compounds were administered either alone or in combination with
(12) Sunkara, P. S.; Rosenberg, A. L. Cancer Res. 1987, 47, 933. Bowlin, T. L.; McKown, B. J.; Sunkara, S. P. Cell. Immunol. 1986, 98, 341.
(13) Israel, M.; Zoll, E. C.; Muhammad, N.; Modest, E. J. J. Med. Chem. 1974, 16, 1.
(14) Hansen, J. B.; Nielsen, M. C.; Enrbar, U.; Buchardi, O. Synthesis 1982, 22, 404.
(15) Höltta, E. Biochemistry 1977, 16, 91.
(16) Bolkenius, F. N.; Bey, P.; Seiler, N. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1985, $838,69$.
(17) Bradford, M. Anal. Biochem. 1976, 72, 248.
(18) Rinaldi, A.; Dernini, S.; Fadda, M. B.; De Murro, L. Ital. J. Biochem. 1975, 24, 207.
(19) US Patent 3,801,548 (Phillips Petroleum), May 6, 1969; Chem. Abstr. 1974, 81, 51307k.

Table III. Polyamine Oxidase Inhibition ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| no. | structure | rat liver PAO in vitro |  | in vivo |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | dose, mg/kg | PAO activity pmol/min per mg of protein |
|  |  | $K_{\mathrm{i}}, \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $\tau_{50}, \mathrm{~min}$ |  |  |
| 38 | $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}\right]_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | 3.0 | 4.0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| 42 | $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8}\right.$ | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1 | 4.1 |
| 47 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.7 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 3.9 |
|  |  |  |  | 25 | 1.9 |
| cont |  |  |  | 0 | 18.7 |

${ }^{a}$ Mice were dosed ip with drugs 24 h prior to determination of PAO activity in the liver. Rat liver polyamine oxidase was purified by the procedure of Höltta ${ }^{15}$ through the DEAE-cellulose chromatography step. $K_{i}$ and $\tau_{50}$ were determined, with the partially purified PAO, as described by Bey et al. ${ }^{11}$ Mouse livers were homogenized and PAO activity was determined as described in Bolkenius et al. ${ }^{16}$ Protein concentrations were estimated by the method of Bradford ${ }^{17}$ using bovine serum albumin as the standard.

Table IV. Antitumor Activity of Polyamine Analogues Administered as Single Agents or in Combination with a Polyamine Oxidase Inhibitor against L1210 Leukemia in BDF1 Male Mice ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| no. | dose, $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, <br> ip days $1-9$ <br> 1 dose/day | mean survival <br> time, days <br> $\pm \mathrm{SD}(n=5)$ | $\% \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{C}^{d}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| control |  | $8 \pm 0.3$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ | 5 | $7.6 \pm 0.4$ | 100 |
|  | $5^{*, c}$ | $8.4 \pm 0.4$ | 105 |
|  | 10 | $9.0 \pm 0.4$ | 112 |
| $\mathbf{2 6 a}$ | $10^{*}$ | $12.4 \pm 0.5$ | 155 |
|  | 5 | $11.4 \pm 1.3$ | 142 |
|  | $5^{*}$ | $12.6 \pm 1.5$ | 157 |
|  | 10 | $13.8 \pm 0.9$ | 172 |
| $\mathbf{2 6 b}$ | $10^{*}$ | $25.4 \pm 3.8$ | 317 |
|  | 5 | $9.8 \pm 1.1$ | 122 |
|  | $5^{*}$ | $11.4 \pm 1.3$ | 142 |
| control for $\mathbf{3 4}$ | 10 | $9.0 \pm 0.3$ | 112 |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $10^{*}$ | $23.0 \pm 2.0$ | 287 |
|  |  | $6.8 \pm 1.1$ |  |
|  | $5^{e}$ | $13.3 \pm 1.0$ | 195 |

${ }^{a} 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} 1210$ cells inoculated ip into BDF1 male mice on day 0 . ${ }^{6}$ Given with $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of di-allenylputrescine (47). ${ }^{c}$ An asterisk denotes compounds given with $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of diallenylputrescine (47). ${ }^{d}$ See footnote $c$, Table I. ${ }^{e}$ Drug given every $3 \mathrm{~h}(\times 4)$ days 3-5.

Table V. Modulation of the Antitumor Activity of Compound 20 against L1210 Leukemia by Putrescine or Spermidine ${ }^{a}$

| compd | treatment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mean survival, } \\ & \text { in days } \pm \mathrm{SD} \\ & (n=5) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| control |  | $7.7 \pm 0.5$ |
| 20 | $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{ip} \mathrm{days} 1-7,1$ dose/day | $16.2 \pm 1.7$ |
| 20 | $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ plus, $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ putrescine days 1-7, 1 dose/day | $15.0 \pm 1.1$ |
| 20 | $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ plus $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ spermidine dayds 1-7, 1 dose/day | $8.3 \pm 0.8$ |

${ }^{a}$ BDF1 male mice (groups of six) were inoculated ip with $10^{5}$ L1210 cells on day 0 .

PAO inhibitor 47 (Table IV). The results clearly indicated that concomitant administration of the PAO inhibitor improved the antitumor activity of these polyamines,
suggesting the possibility that these compounds were metabolized by PAO.
The mechanism of action of these compounds is currently under study. The antitumor activity of tetraamine 20 was found to be reversed by the coadministration of spermidine but not putrescine (Table V). This reversal may be due, in part, to competition for an uptake system. An examination of tumor cell polyamine concentrations showed that intracellular level of drug was lowered by coadministration of spermidine (Table VI).
In summary, a series of new tetraamines, derived from norspermidine and 1,8 -diaminooctane, was synthesized. Several of these compounds exhibited significant antitumor activity at doses of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ or less. The antitumor activity was potentiated in vivo by coadministration of a PAO inhibitor, consistent with inactivation of these antitumor agents by PAO.

## Experimental Section

NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian VXR-300 or a Varian EM-360L spectrometer. Chemical shifts were reported downfield from TMS in spectra obtained in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and DMSO- $d_{6}$ and from DSS, in spectra obtained in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer 1800 spectrometer. MS were obtained on a Finnigan MAT 4600 spectrometer. All melting points were determined on a Thomas-Hoover melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. All compounds gave elemental analyses within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of theory unless otherwise indicated.
3-Phthalimidopropyl Thiol (8). A mixture of (3-bromopropyl)phthalimide ( $13.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and thiourea ( $3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05$ mol ) in ethanol ( 250 mL ) was heated at reflux temperature for 18 h . The solid, which precipitated upon cooling, was filtered to give 13.3 g ( $77 \%$ ) of isothiouronium compound $48: \mathrm{mp} 236-237$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $3300,1720,1646,1400,1020$, and $720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right.$, exch $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 7.7 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.7(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.2(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{CI} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)$ $263(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{HBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$. Nitrogen gas was bubbled through a suspension of $48(20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.058 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dioxane ( 600 mL ) for 30 min , unsym- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylethylenediamine ( 15 mL ) was added, and the mixture was heated under nitrogen at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The dioxane was removed at aspirator pressure and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate. After washing with aqueous HCl , the organic layer was dried and evaporated. The residue was recrystallized from ethyl acetate/ hexane to give $9.6 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%)$ of 8 as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 47-48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;

Table VI. Effect of Putrescine or Spermidine Coadministered with Compound 20 on the Tumor Cell Concentration (L1210) of Polyamines ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | polyamines, pmol/10 ${ }^{6}$ cells (average of three |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| determinations) |  |  |  |  |

[^3]IR ( KBr ) $1770,1720,1390$, and $720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.8$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.6(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, one exchanges $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, and $1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; MS (EI) $m / z 221(\mathrm{M})^{+}$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ ) C, H, N.

3,3'Thiobis(1-phthalimidopropane) (9). A solution of thiol $8(2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 11.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 400 mL ) was cooled to $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $n$-butyllithium in hexane ( 5 mL of $2.4 \mathrm{M}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the solution was stirred for 10 min . A solution of (3-bromopropyl)phthalimide ( $3.15 \mathrm{~g}, 11.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 50 mL ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , warmed to ambient temperature, and stirred for 1 h . The solvent was evaporated and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The organic layer was washed with water and brine and then dried and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed on a flash silica gel column ( $9 / 1$ toluene/EtOAc) to yield, after recrystallization from hexane/ dichloromethane, 2.2 g ( $43 \%$ ) of 9 as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 116-117$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) $1720,1700,1400,1020$, and $720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.6(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.6(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), and $2.0-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{CI} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 409$ (M $+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$. Similarly prepared was 12 , $\operatorname{mp} 119-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

3,3'-Thiobis(1-propanamine) Dihydrochloride (10). To a suspension of $9(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 5.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 50 mL ) was added hydrazine hydrate ( $1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 70 \%$ ). The mixture was heated at reflux for 18 h and then concentrated in vacuo. To the residue was added concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(80 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, and methanol $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. This mixture was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated and the residue was recrystallized from 2-propanol/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give 250 mg ( $22 \%$ ) of $10, \mathrm{mp} 209-210$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) 3000,1600 , and $1500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.2$ (b s, 6 H ), $3.2-2.5(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}$ ), and 2.25-1.75 (m, 4 H ); MS (EI) 148 $(\mathrm{M})^{+}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$; N : calcd, 12.67; found, 12.21 . Similarly prepared was $13, \mathrm{mp} 222-224^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$. $2 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot{ }^{1} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3,3'-Sulfonylbis(1-propanamine) Dihydrochloride (11). A solution of $9(8.5 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in chloroform ( 400 mL ) was chilled in an ice bath. A solution of $m$-chloroperbenzoic acid ( $10.6 \mathrm{~g}, 50$ mmol ) in chloroform ( 400 mL ) was added dropwise. The ice bath was removed and the solution was stirred for 70 h at ambient temperature. The solution was extracted with aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and the organic layer was dried and evaporated. The residue was recrystallized (ethyl acetate/methanol) to give $3.5 \mathrm{~g}(38 \%)$ of bis(3-phthalimidopropyl) sulfone (49): mp 169-170 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) 1770, $1720,1400,1370,1120$, and $720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $7.8(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.7(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.2\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, and $2.25-1.8(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{CI} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 441(\mathrm{M}+1)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; C: calcd, 59.98 ; found, 59.43. Treatment of the sulfone with hydrazine hydrate the HCl as described for 10 gave 11: mp 190-191 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) 3000, 1590, 1515, 1280, 1020, 780, 595 , and $520 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.15$ (b s, 6 H ), $3.4-3.05$ (b s, 4 H ), $2.8(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), and $2.2-1.8(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; MS $\left(\mathrm{CI} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right) 181(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}, \boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-Dimethyl-1,8-octanediamine Dihydrochloride (16). $N, N^{\prime}$-Bis $\left(\right.$ tert-Boc)-1,8-octanediamine ${ }^{14}(43,0.68 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in DMF ( 4 mL ) and $\mathrm{NaH}(0.16 \mathrm{~g}$ of $60 \%$ oil dispersion, 4 mmol ) was added. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature, methyl iodide ( $2.87 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and stirring was continued for 18 h . The reaction mixture was evaporated and the dimethylated product ( 320 mg ) was obtained by flash chromatography of the residue (EtOAc, $15 \%$ in hexane): NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) 1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.50(18 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$. This material was dissolved in ethanol ( 2 mL ), a 2 N solution of HCl gas in ether ( 6 mL ) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 4 h . The precipitate was filtered, washed with ether, and vacuum dried to give 200 mg ( $41 \%$ ) of white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 224-226^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) 2950 , 2800, and $1450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.85(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.6(\mathrm{~s}, 6$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(3-Aminopropyl)- $\boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-[3-(ethylamino) propyl]-1,8-octanediamine Tetrahydrochloride (25b). To a solution of the tetrakis-tert-butoxycarbonyl derivative of $N, N^{\prime}$-bis (3-amino-propyl)-1,8-octanediamine [ $22,9.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0144 \mathrm{~mol}$, prepared from the tetramine and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate in aqueous THF: NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 36 \mathrm{H})$,
and $1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$ ] in DMF ( 45 mL ) was added potassium tert-butoxide ( $2.91 \mathrm{~g}, 0.026 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Iodoethane ( $4.06 \mathrm{~g}, 0.026 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 18 h . The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The organic layer was separated, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed on a flash silica gel column ( $20 \%$ EtOAc / hexane) to give the product (23b) as a thick oil: NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.37-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 36 \mathrm{H}), 1.22$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 12 \mathrm{H}$ ), and $1.12(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). The residue was dissolved in ethanol ( 5 mL ) and a solution of anhydrous HCl in ether ( 60 $\mathrm{mL}, 2 \mathrm{~N}$ ) was added. After 24 h , the mixture was filtered to give 1.35 g ( $21 \%$ over two steps) of $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp}>310$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) 3550,2920 , 2774, and $1460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta$ $3.3-2.9(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.95-1.2(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H})$; MS $\left(\mathrm{CI} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right) 286,(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}, \boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-Bis[3-(ethylamino)propyl]-1,8-octanediamine Tetrahydrochloride (26b). To a solution of the tetrakis-tertbutoxycarbonyl derivative of $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(3-aminopropyl)-1,8-octanediamine ( $3.29 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in DMF ( 15 mL ) was added potassium tert-butoxide ( $1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 0.011 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and the mixture was stirred for 20 min at ambient temperature. The mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, iodoethane ( $1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.011 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 18 h . The solvent was evaporated at reduced ( 1 mm ) pressure and the residue was suspended in ethyl acetate $(700 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was washed with water $(3 \times 100$ mL ) and brine ( 100 mL ). The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed on a flash silica gel column ( $20 \%$ EtOAc/hexane). The fraction containing the diethyl adduct were evaporated, the residue ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in ethanol ( 2 mL ), and 20 mL of a 2 N solution of anhydrous HCl in ether was added. The mixture was stirred for 24 h and filtered to give 480 mg ( $21 \%$ over the two steps) of $26 \mathbf{b}$ : $\mathrm{mp}>280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $3450,2950,2780,2480$, and $1450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 3.3-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 2.3-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.5(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H ), and $1.5-1.15(7,12 \mathrm{H})$; MS (CI/CH4) $315(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$.

Similarly prepared were the following.
26a: $\mathrm{mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 3.15$ (t, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.05 $(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$; IR ( KBr ) 3420 , 2860, 2460,1590 , and $1460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS ( $\mathrm{CI} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ) $287(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$.

26c: $\mathrm{mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, and $0.95(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 6 H ); IR ( KBr ) $3435,2950,2515$, and $1510 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS ( $\mathrm{CI} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ) $343(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$.

26d: mp $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$ $2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$, and $0.95(\mathrm{t}, J=6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ); IR ( KBr ) $3420,2950,1560$, and $1400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{CI} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)$ $371(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$.

26e: $\mathrm{mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR (CDCl/TFA) $\delta 7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 4.25$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4$ H ), and $1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$; IR ( KBr ) 3420,2940 , 1585 , and $1440 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS $\left(\mathrm{CI} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right) 439(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{4} 4 \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}, \boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-Bis[3-(dimethylamino) propyl]-1,8-octanediamine Tetrahydrochloride (27). A solution of 1,8-Diaminooctane (14.4 $\mathrm{g}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\beta$-(dimethylamino) propionitrile ( $19.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $5 \%$ rhodium on carbon ( 2 g ) in ethanol ( 50 mL ) was treated with hydrogen at $45 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{in}^{-2}$ until the theoretical amount of hydrogen had been absorbed. The catalyst was removed by filtration and the solvent was evaporated. Volatile materials were removed at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{~mm})$, leaving a residue which was homogeneous by capillary GC analysis. This material was dissolved in methanol $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was treated with HCl gas. The solid that precipitated was filtered and recrystallized from metha-nol/2-propanol to give $1.5 \mathrm{~g}(3.2 \%)$ of $27: \mathrm{mp} 240-242{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; IR ( KBr ) 3410,2920 , and $1460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 3.3(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.1(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.7(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H ), and $1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$; MS $\left(\mathrm{CI} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right) 314(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{N}$ : calcd, 12.17 ; found, 11.55 ; Cl: calcd, 30.81; found, 29.46 .

Similarly prepared was $18: \mathrm{mp} 145-146^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 3.25$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3330$, 2840, 2670, $1475 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{CI} /$ isobutane $) 188(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \cdot 3 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}, \boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-Bis(3-amino-1-methylpropyl)-1,8-diaminooctane Tetrahydrochloride (29). A solution of 1,8-diaminooctane (7.2 $\mathrm{g}, 0.05 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and crotononitrile ( $6.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in ethanol ( 50 mL ) was stirred at ambient temperature for 48 h . The reaction mixture was evaporated to give $13.4 \mathrm{~g}(96 \%)$ of crude dinitrile (28): NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $12 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. The dinitrile ( $13.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.048 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}(0.75 \mathrm{~g})$ were added to a mixture of $\mathrm{AcOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $12 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(24 \mathrm{~mL})$ and hydrogenated on a Parr shaker until the theoretical amount of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ uptake occurred. The catalyst was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was recrystallized from methanol/acetone $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give $6.5 \mathrm{~g}(30 \%)$ of 29: $\mathrm{mp}>280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.55(\mathrm{~b} \mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.7$ (m, 10 H ), and 2.35-1.00 (m, 22 H ); IR (KBr) 3440, 2920, 1600, and $1470 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS $\left(\mathrm{CI} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right) 287(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4}{ }^{+}\right.$ $4 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}, \boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-Bis(3-aminobutyl)-1,8-octanediamine Tetrahydrochloride (34). A solution of $N, N^{\prime}$-dibenzyl-1,8-diaminooctane $(17.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.054 \mathrm{~mol})$ in methanol $(700 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred as a stream of argon containing methyl vinyl ketone was passed through the solution. Addition was continued until the required amount ( 8.4 $\mathrm{g}, 0.12 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) of methyl vinyl ketone had been transferred. The solution was stirred for a total of 18 h . The solvent was removed to give bis-ketone $31(24.8 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ as a gum: $\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.8-2.2(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.45$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$. The product was unstable and was used immediately. To a solution of bis-ketone $31(24.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.054$ mol ) in methanol ( 700 mL ) cooled in an ice bath were added hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $9 \mathrm{~g}, 0.12 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $25 \% \mathrm{NaOH}(19.2$ g). The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature overnight. Removal of the methanol upon rotary evaporation left an aqueous residue which was extracted with dichloromethane. Concentration of the organic layers gave a residue which was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc) to yield bisoxime $32(10 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \%)$ as an analytically pure gum: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3590,2930,1660$, and 1450 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.8(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.4(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.7(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.4(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.2(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H})$; MS $\left(\mathrm{CI} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right) 495(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
A solution of bisoxime $32(3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 7.7 \mathrm{~mol})$ in THF ( 20 mL ) was added dropwise to a suspension of LAH ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in THF $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at reflux temperature for 48 h. The mixture was cooled and excess hydride was destroyed by careful addition of water $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(4.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated. Kugelrohr distillation yielded $0.71 \mathrm{~g}(20 \%)$ of 33 as a thick oil: $\mathrm{bp}_{0.2} 230-235$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (film) $2928,2865,2800,1593$, and $1450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 3.5(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.4(\mathrm{dd}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.0(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{CI} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right) 467(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.

A solution of $33(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Pearlman's catalyst ( $20 \%$ $\left.\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}, 0.2 \mathrm{~g}\right)$ in 10 mL of ethanol was treated with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ on a Parr hydrogenation apparatus at $45 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ until the theoretical uptake of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ had occurred. The catalyst was removed by filtration and the filtrate was treated with 10 mL of 1 N HCl in methanol. Evaporation to dryness and repeated crystallization from methanol gave $40 \mathrm{mg}(6 \%)$ of 34 as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 206-207^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr})$ $3420,2950,1605,1525$, and $1470 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ $\mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{t}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4$ H), $1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; MS ( $\mathrm{CI} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ) $287(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; Cl : calcd, 32.80 ; found, 31.49.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-2,3-Butadienyl- $\boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$ [3-(2,3-butadienylamino)propyl]1,3 -propanediamine Trihydrochloride (38). To a solution of Boc-bis(3-hydroxypropyl)amine [ $11.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{~mol}$; prepared from bis(3-hydroxypropyl)amine and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate] and triethylamine ( $18.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 500 mL ) chilled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise a solution of methanesulfonyl chloride ( $12.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 85 mL ). The mixture was stirred for 1.5 h , diluted with dichloromethane ( 250 mL ), and extracted with 1 N acetic acid, aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and brine. The organic layer was dried and evaporated, and the residue was purified by flash silica gel chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane ( $3 / 2 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) ) to give bis-mesylate 36 ( $8.8 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$ as a waxy solid: IR ( KBr ) $2980,2340,1680,1440$, and $1355 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.2(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.3(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.0(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.4(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{CI} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right) 390(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$.
Sodium iodide ( $6.7 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaH}(1.96 \mathrm{~g}$ of $60 \%$ dispersion in oil, 49 mmol ), and compound $36(8.8 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to DMF ( 50 mL ) and the mixture was chilled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of allenyl compound $35^{11}(8.3 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h . The solvent was removed, the residue was taken up in EtOAc, and the solution was extracted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated. Flash chromatography ( $25 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) of the residue gave 7.9 g of a thick oil (37): $\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta 5.05$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.7(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) 1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, and 1.4 (s, 27 H ).

To a solution of $37(7.9 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02 \mathrm{~mol})$ in ethanol ( 35 mL ) was added a solution of anhydrous HCl in ether ( $120 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{~N}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 18 h at ambient temperature. The solid that precipitated was filtered and dried at reduced pressure over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to give $50 \mathrm{mg}\left(0.66 \%\right.$ from 36) of 38: $\mathrm{mp} 273-275^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$; IR (KBr) 2800, 1960, 1580, and $1450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 5.3(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.0(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, and $2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4$ $\mathrm{H}): \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{CI} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right) 236(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \cdot 6 \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}, \boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-Bis(3-hydroxylpropyl)- $\boldsymbol{N}, \boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-bis(phenylmethyl)-1,8-diaminooctane (39). $N, N^{\prime}$-Dibenzyl-1,8-diaminooctane ( 30 , $32.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), sodium carbonate ( $50.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.475 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), sodium iodide ( $1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 0.008 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and 3-chloro-1-hydroxypropane ( 16.7 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were combined in $n$-butanol ( 40 mL ), and the mixture was heated at reflux for 20 h . The mixture was then partitioned between ethyl acetate $(900 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo. Bulb-to-bulb distillation yielded $35.0 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$ of 39 : $\mathrm{bp}_{0.1} 250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~b}$ m, 2 H$), 3.65$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.85-1.05$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 16 \mathrm{H}$ ).

1,18-Bis(2,3-butadienyl)-1,5,14,18-tetrakis (tert-butoxy-carbonyl)-1,5,14,18-tetaazaoctadecane (41). Compound 39 (35.0 $\mathrm{g}, 0.078 \mathrm{~mol})$ and palladium oxide $(4.0 \mathrm{~g})$ were combined in acetic acid $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with hydrogen on a Parr hydrogenation apparatus until uptake ceased. The catalyst was removed by filtration and the solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure to yield 20.0 g of viscous oil. The oil was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 700 mL ) containing triethylamine ( 30 mL ) and treated with di-tert-butyl dicarbonate $(35.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{~mol})$ with stirring for 16 h . The mixture was then washed with water and the organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( $75 \%$ EtOAc/hexane) yielded 9.9 g of bis-Boc compound 40 ( $28 \%$ for the two steps) as a viscous oil: $R_{f}=0.31$ ( $75 \%$ EtOAc/hexane); NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.55(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.55$ $(\mathrm{s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$. Treatment of this oil at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with triethylamine ( $9.65 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.069 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and methanesulfonyl chloride ( $3.66 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.046 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 210 mL ) yielded after aqueous workup and flash chromatography (eluted with $60 \%$ EtOAc/hexane) $9.4 \mathrm{~g}(73 \%)$ of bis-methylate, a clear oil: $R_{f}=$ $0.39(60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexane})$; NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.20(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4$ H), $3.35-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$. To a solution of the bis-mesylate $(9.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.015$ mol ), sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ in oil, $2.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.053 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and sodium iodide ( $4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in DMF ( 24 mL ) cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of $35(8.24 \mathrm{~g}, 0.04 \mathrm{~mol})$ in DMF ( 12 mL ). When the addition was completed the mixture was warmed to ambient temperature and allowed to stir for 5 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo and the thick residue was partitioned between EtOAc ( 1 L ) and water ( 500 mL ). The organic layer was washed with water ( $2 \times 500 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( $25 \%$ EtOAc/hexane) yielded 7.6 g ( $67 \%$ ) of 41 as a clear oil: $R_{f}=0.39(25 \%$ EtOAc/hexane). NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~m}$, $12 \mathrm{H}), 1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 36 \mathrm{H})$, and $1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$.

1,18-Bis(2,3-butadienyl)-1,5,14,18-tetraazaoctadecane Tetrahydrochloride (42). To a solution of 41 ( $7.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in ethanol ( 5 mL ) was added 80 mL of 2 N HCl in ether. After stirring for 18 h , the mixture was filtered. The solid was slurried with hot methanol ( 200 mL ), and the mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and filtered to yield $3.02 \mathrm{~g}(60 \%)$ of $\mathbf{4 2}$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 286-287^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 5.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05$
( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.70$ (m, 4 H ), and $1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$; IR ( KBr ) $3420,2940,1910$, and 1450 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; MS (CI/ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right) 363(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}, \boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-Bis(3-aminopropyl)- $\boldsymbol{N}, \boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-dimethyl-1,8-octanediamine Tetrahydrochloride (46). A solution of compound 16 ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium hydroxide ( $0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and acrylonitrile ( $0.43 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol ( 16 mL ) was stirred for 72 h at ambient temperature. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was stirred with ether ( 50 mL ) and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give compound 45 ( 1 g , $90 \%$ ) as a clear oil: NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.8-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.2(\mathrm{~s}$, 6 H ), and $1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$. Compound 45 was taken up in a mixture of acetic acid ( 26 mL ) and concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(1.3 \mathrm{~mL})$; the solution was hydrogenated in a Parr apparatus in the presence of $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$ $(0.2 \mathrm{~g})$. The catalyst was removed and the solution was evaporated. The residue was recrystallized from 2-propanol to give 46 (130 $\mathrm{mg}, 7.4 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 2950,1610$, and $1460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{d}_{6} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 / 1\right) \delta 3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.88$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, and 1.3 (m, 8 H ); MS (EI) 286 (M). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot{ }^{1} /{ }_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$.
Similarly prepared was 21: NMR ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) 3.50 ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$, and $2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$; IR (KBr) 3480, 2520,1600 , and $1525, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{CI} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right) 247(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O} \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 1 /{ }_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{Cl}$ : calcd, 35.45 ; found, 34.04 .

Registry No. 8, 39801-32-6; 9, 125763-67-9; 10.2HCl, 51920-$08-2$; 10 (free base), $13643-20-4 ; 11 \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}, 51920-09-3 ; 11$ (free base),

102203-35-0; $13 \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}, 125763-68-0$; 13 (free base), 86108-46-5; $14 \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}, 89990-48-7$; 14 (free base), 2157-24-6; 15, 105-83-9; $16 \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}, 63869-19-2 ; 16$ (free base), $33563-54-1 ; 17 \cdot 3 \mathrm{HCl}$, 125763-69-1; 17 (free base), $75403-53-1 ; 18.3 \mathrm{HCl}, 82958-56-3 ; 18$ (free base), $6711-48-4 ; 19 \cdot 3 \mathrm{HCl}, 82958-51-8 ; 19$ (free base), $53774-74-6 ; 20,54443-83-3 ; 21 \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}, 102203-40-7 ; 21$ (free base), 102203-41-8; 22, $117654-82-7$; 23b, 122560-29-6; 25b-4HCl, 122560-26-3; 25b (free base), $122560-20-7 ; 26 a \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}, 122560-24-1$; 26a (free base), 122560-19-4; 26b•4HCl, 122560-25-2; 26b (free base), $122560-21-8 ; 26 \mathrm{c} \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}, 125763-79-3 ; 26 \mathrm{c}$ (free base), $122560-23-0 ; 26 \mathrm{~d} \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}, 117654-75-8$; 26d (free base), $125763-86-2$; $26 \mathrm{e} \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}, 117654-74-7$; 26 e (free base), $117654-73-6 ; 27 \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}$, 125763-70-4; 27 (free base), 125763-82-8; 28, 125763-71-5; 29.4HCl, 125763-72-6; 29 (free base), 125763-83-9; 31, 122560-30-9; 32, $122560-31-0 ; 33,122560-32-1 ; 34 \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}, 125763-73-7$; 34 (free base), 122560-22-9; 35, 92136-43-1; 36, 125763-74-8; 37, 125781-08-0; $38 \cdot 3 \mathrm{HCl}, 125763-75-9 ; 38$ (free base), 125763-81-7; 39, 117654-97-4; 40, 117654-99-6; 41, 117655-01-3; 42.4 HCl, 117681-74-0; 43 (free base), 125763-84-0; 43, 82409-00-5; 44, 125763-76-0; 45, 125763-77-1; $46 \cdot 4 \mathrm{HCl}, 125781-09-1 ; 46$ (free base), 125763-85-1; 47, 99207-33-7; 48, 63344-92-3; 49, 125763-78-2; $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$, $39624-13-0 ; \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, 54443-83-3$; $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}, 1738-25-6 ; \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, 373-44-4 ; \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CC}-$ $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{CHCN}, 4786-20-3 ; \mathrm{MeCOCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, 78-94-4 ;(\mathrm{BOC}) \mathrm{N}[(\mathrm{C}-$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right]_{2}, 125763-80-6 ; \mathrm{HN}\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right]_{2}, 14002-33-6 ; \mathrm{HO}(\mathrm{C}-$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OH}, 117654-98-5 ; \mathrm{MeSO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}-$ $(\mathrm{BOC})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{BOC})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OSO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, 117655-00-2 ; \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCN}$, 107-13-1; $\mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OH}, 627-30-5 ; \mathrm{N}$-(3-bromopropyl)phthalimide, 5460-29-7
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#### Abstract

9-Oxoxanthene-4-acetic acids are a class of antitumor agents effective against the mouse colon adenocarcinoma 38 in vivo. Within this class, 5 -substituents on the xanthenone are known to enhance potency. To extend structure-activity relationships for the class, a series of derivatives bearing a wide variety of substituents at the 5 -position have been prepared and evaluated. The results suggest that activity correlates better with the lipophilic properties of substituents rather than with their electronic properties. Generally, lipophilic substituents result in more active compounds, but there may be a size limitation on such substituents. The 5 -methyl derivative is the most dose-potent of the analogues studied.


The recent discovery ${ }^{1-5}$ of the unusual antitumor profile of flavoneacetic acid (1, FAA, NSC 347512) has sparked interest in the development of related compounds of similar activity. While FAA has not yet shown clinical ac-
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mors make it an important lead. Although it has been shown to act as a biological response modifier, inducing natural killer cell activity, ${ }^{4}$ and to have marked effects on tumor blood flow, ${ }^{8,9}$ its mode of action is not yet known. In the absence of this information new drug development is of necessity slow and relies on the determination of structure-activity relationships (SAR) among related compounds.
We have recently reported SAR for in vivo colon 38 activity among analogues of FAA itself ${ }^{10}$ and also ${ }^{11}$ for a
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[^3]:    ${ }^{a}$ Animals were inoculated ip with $10^{5} \mathrm{~L} 1210$ cells on day 0 ; beginning on day 4 , the animals were treated ip with the compounds at the indicated doses; 4 h after the last dose, animals were sacrificed and tumor cells collected by peritoneal lavage; polyamines were quantitated by HPLC (ref 13).

